

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2153 S HB	Title: Catalytic converter theft	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Michelle Rusk	Phone: 360-786-7153	Date: 01/31/2024
Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 02/07/2024
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/07/2024
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

193,808.00

Request # 182-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The substitute bill would have the same fiscal impact as the original.

The bill would expand the duties of dealers and others with respect to possession, disassembly, sale, or transfer of catalytic converters and vehicles containing them.

Sections 23, 24, 25, and 26 would create multiple crimes relating to the possession or sale of unlabeled or improperly labeled catalytic converters and unlawful trafficking in them and would require the court or jury to make special findings/verdicts when the defendant is convicted of trafficking converters.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

This would have minimal fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts requiring law table updates.

SUPERIOR, DISTRICT AND MUNICIPAL COURTS

This bill may increase caseloads in superior, district, and municipal courts because the bill would create new crimes. However, the court impact is indeterminate. It is unknown how many cases would result from the new crimes.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

193,808.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None